



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,884	08/27/2007	Karl G. Csaky	NIHA 0383	8820
45160 7590 08/11/2010				
OTT- NIH				
c/o WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP				
CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR				
2929 ARCH STREET				
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891				
EXAMINER				
AUDET, MAURY A				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1654				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/11/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/588,884		CSAKY ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	MAURY AUDET		1654	

All Participants:

(1) MAURY AUDET, Examiner.

(2) Jane Inglesse, Applicant's Representative.

Date of Interview: 20 May 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

During a few telephone exchanges on or about 5/19/10-5/20/10 (questions/responses left by voicemail), following the response to restriction, prior to examination on the merits, the Examiner indicated that as for the kit claims, which are part of the elected group as products, it was indicated the functional language therein has not been analyzed for enablement. Applicant was asked if they wish to delete this language or a new restriction sent based on each of these a distinct products? The Examiner left the issue to be resolved in writing.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: NA

/Maury Audet/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1654

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)